



Office of Inspector General Financial and IT Operations

Audit Report

Rural Telephone Bank Financial Statements for Fiscal Years 2003 and 2002

Report No. 15401-4-FM November 2003



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL



Washington D.C. 20250

DATE:

NOV 0 7 2003

REPLY TO

ATTN OF:

15401-4-FM

SUBJECT:

Audit of Rural Telephone Bank's Financial Statements for

Fiscal Years 2003, and 2002

TO:

Board of Directors

Rural Telephone Bank

ATTN:

John M. Purcel

Director

Financial Management Division

Rural Development

This report represents the auditors' opinion on the Rural Telephone Bank's (RTB) principal financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 and 2002. Reports on RTB's internal control and on its compliance with laws and regulations are also provided.

Gardiner, Kamya & Associates, P.C. (GKA) an independent certified public accounting firm, conducted the audit. We monitored the progress of the audits at all key points, reviewed the work papers, and performed other procedures, as we deemed necessary. We determined that the audits were conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> (issued by the Comptroller General of the United States), and the Office of Management and Budget's Bulletin 01-02, "Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements."

It is the opinion of GKA that the financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, RTB's financial position as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, and its net costs, changes in net position, budgetary resources and reconciliation of net costs to budgetary obligations for the years then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. GKA's report on RTB's internal control contains one material weakness relating to information technology and controls. GKA's report on RTB's compliance with laws and regulations has one instance of noncompliance with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act.

RICHARD D. LONG

Assistant Inspector General

for Audit

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL TELEPHONE BANK (RTB)

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2003 and 2002

and

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT THEREON

1717 K STREET, NW SUITE 601 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 P 202 857 1777 F 202 857 1778 W WWW.GKACPA.COM

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL TELEPHONE BANK (RTB)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	No
SECTION 1	
Independent Auditor's Report on Financial Statements	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control	3
Reportable Condition5	5
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations	7
SECTION 2	
Financial Statements:	
Management's Discussion and Analysis (Overview)9	
Balance Sheet13	
Statement of Net Cost14	
Statement of Changes in Net Position15	
Statement of Budgetary Resources16	
Statement of Financing	
Notes to Financial Statements19	•



Independent Auditor's Report on Financial Statements

To the Inspector General and the Rural Telephone Bank Board of Directors

We have audited the accompanying Balance Sheets of the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture, as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related Statements of Net Cost, Changes in Net Position, Budgetary Resources and Financing for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the management of the RTB. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 01-02, Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the RTB as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, and its net costs, changes in net position, budgetary resources, and the reconciliation of budgetary obligations to net costs for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The information in the Management's Discussion & Analysis (MD&A) is not a required part of the financial statements, and we did not audit and do not express an opinion on such information. However, we have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the MD&A information.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued reports dated October 24, 2003, on our consideration of the RTB's internal control over financial reporting, and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of applicable laws and regulations. These reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, and, in considering the results of the audit, these reports should be read in conjunction with this report.

Gardiner, Karnya & Rosociatet, P.C.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control

To the Inspector General and the Rural Telephone Bank Board of Directors

We have audited the financial statements of the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture, as of, and for the years ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2003. We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 01-02, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*.

In planning and performing our audits, we considered the RTB's internal control over financial reporting by obtaining an understanding of the RTB's internal control, determined whether internal controls had been placed in operation, assessed control risk, and performed tests of controls in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. We limited our internal control testing to those controls necessary to achieve the objectives described in OMB Bulletin No. 01-02. We did not test all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly defined by the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982, such as those controls relevant to ensuring efficient operations. The objective of our audit was not to provide assurance on internal control. Consequently, we do not provide an opinion on internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be reportable conditions. Under standards issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, reportable conditions are matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the RTB's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions by management in the financial statements. Material weaknesses are reportable conditions in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Because of inherent limitations in internal controls, misstatements, losses, or

internal controls, misstatements, losses, or noncompliance may nevertheless occur and not be detected.

However, we noted certain matters discussed in the following pages (Reportable Condition 1) involving the internal control and its operation that we consider to be reportable condition. We consider Reportable Condition 1 to be a Material Weakness.

In addition, with respect to internal controls related to performance measures reported in the Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), we obtained an understanding of the design of significant internal controls relating to the existence and completeness assertions, as required by OMB Bulletin No. 01-02. Our procedures were not designed to provide assurance on internal control over reported performance measures, and, accordingly, we do not provide an opinion on such controls.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), Rural Development (RD), USDA, Office of the Inspector General (OIG), Office of the Management and Budget (OMB), and Congress, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hardiner, Karrya & associated, P.C. October 24, 2003

REPORTABLE CONDITION 1 (MATERIAL WEAKNESS)

IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AND CONTROLS

During our audit, we noted that Rural Development/Rural Telephone Bank management reported as of September 30, 2003, that the financial management/accounting systems do not comply with OMB Circular A-127 standards under the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA). The RUS Legacy system is not compliant with JFMIP standards for Federal Financial Systems (Direct Loan System Requirements) and A-127 requirements for an integrated system that avoids redundant data, and in addition, a single source of data entry. The RUS Legacy system also lacks automated controls. In addition, all financial management systems have not been certified by management to be in compliance with OMB Circular A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources.

Based on our review of recent USDA OIG's evaluations of information technology security and controls, RTB also is not in compliance with the OMB Circular A-130 and Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) with respect to the information security access controls in the National Information Technology Center (NITC), Kansas City, MO, and the Finance Office's Local Area Network, St. Louis, MO.

Additionally, during our audit, as well as based on information from the prior year audit, we noted that the following conditions, which were identified by OIG (contained in the USDA Audit Report Number 85099-2-FM, and the related follow up work performed in FY 2003 under Audit Number 85099-4-FM, and 88099-4-FM and the related work performed in FY 2003 summarized in Report Number 88099-5-FM, see the mentioned reports for details of the findings), are still outstanding:

From USDA Audit Report Number 85099-2-FM and 85099-4-FM

- Security Management Structure has remained the same despite prior OIG recommendations.
- OIG continued to identify numerous vulnerabilities in Rural Development's systems including those reported in the previous years such as Risk assessments and system certification for major applications, security plans for its general support systems in Washington, DC, St Louis, Missouri, disaster recovery planning, and employees and contractors background investigations had not been completed.
- Rural Development has not sufficiently limited access to authorized users or implemented password management controls. In particular, Rural Development has not established and implemented effective internal controls to ensure that (1) user Ids belonging to former employees are timely removed, (2) users have only needed to perform their job functions, (3) remote access to Rural Development resources are properly managed and secured, and (4) password settings conform to National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) guidance.

- Rural Development is not vigilant in identifying and correcting system weaknesses.
- Application change controls need strengthening to ensure the integrity of Rural Development applications.
- Rural Development had not ensured that all IT security controls are in place at its State and county offices.

From USDA Audit Report Number 88099-4-FM and 88099-5-FM

- Further actions are needed to ensure compliance with Federal Regulations and guidance. OITC/NITC is still not compliant with the requirements of OMB Circular A-130 and other Federal security guidance. Specifically, OITC/NITC had not (1) completed security plans for its general support systems, (2) prepared contingency plans for its general support systems, (3) completed system certifications and accreditation for each of its general support systems, or (4) provided security awareness training to all staff to ensure staff are aware of system rules of behavior and know what actions to take in the event of disaster
- Access controls need strengthening. Specifically OCIO/NITC had not completed implementation of procedures to ensure (1) separated employee remote access accounts are timely removed, (2) users with special access privileges are documented, (3) global security software system parameters are documented, (4) policies and procedures outlining monitoring of security logs are implemented, and (5) access controls from the Internet are properly secured.
- Change control improvements need to be finalized and implemented. OIG continues to find that approval, testing and implementation documentation was not always maintained.

RECOMMENDATION

In the prior and current year, OIG recommended that:

- 1. Management continues with its plan to replace the RUS Legacy system with an OMB Circular A-127 compliant system.
- 2. Security plans and risk assessments and related controls be implemented for RTB involved systems.
- 3. Management should complete its Information Technology Security accreditation on a timely basis.

Because the USDA, OIG has made recommendations to Rural Development and the U.S. Department of Agriculture on the aforementioned matters in their respective reports referenced above, we are making no new recommendations herein.



Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations

To the Inspector General and the Rural Telephone Bank Board of Directors

We have audited the financial statements of the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), an agency of the United States Department of Agriculture, as of, and for the years ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, and have issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2003. We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and, Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 01-02, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*.

The management of the RTB is responsible for complying with laws and regulations applicable to the agency. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the RTB's compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts, and certain other laws and regulations specified in OMB Bulletin No. 01-02, including the requirements referred to in the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA) of 1996. We limited our tests of compliance to these provisions and we did not test compliance with all laws and regulations applicable to the RTB.

The results of our tests of compliance with laws and regulations described in the preceding paragraph exclusive of FFMIA disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and OMB Bulletin No. 01-02.

Under FFMIA, we are required to report on whether the RTB's financial management systems substantially comply with the Federal financial management systems requirements, applicable Federal accounting standards, and the United States Government Standard General Ledger at the transaction level. To meet this requirement, we performed tests of compliance with FFMIA section 803 (a) requirements.

The results of our tests disclosed an instance, discussed on page 5 as Reportable Condition 1 (Material Weakness), where the agency's financial management systems did not substantially comply with the Federal financial management systems requirements.

Officer are responsible for these systems. Specific conditions attributable to the instance of noncompliance noted above are included on page 6 of this document.

Providing an opinion on compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the management of the Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), Rural Development (RD), USDA Office of the Inspector General (OIG), Office of the Management and Budget (OMB), and Congress, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Hardiner, Karrya & Posociateto, P. C. October 24, 2003

Mission and Organizational Structure

The Rural Telephone Bank (RTB) was designed to assure rural telephone systems access to private sources of capital by establishing a supplemental credit mechanism to which borrower systems may turn for all or part of their future capital requirements. Since its inception in 1971, RTB has approved approximately \$4.31 billion in loans to rural telecommunications infrastructure.

Ownership of RTB, in addition to the U.S. Government, consists of borrowers, former borrowers and other related organizations authorized to invest. RTB operates on a cooperative basis and earnings, in excess of the annual return of two percent required on the Government's investment, are returned to the non-government owners.

The Administrator of the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) serves as the Governor of RTB. In this capacity, the Governor may exercise and perform all of RTB's functions, powers, and duties except for matters specifically reserved for the Board of Directors. Overall policy decisions and management vest in a 13-member board composed of seven members appointed by the President and six members elected by RTB stockholders. RTB's operations are conducted by the employees of RUS that have similar duties and responsibilities under RUS's rural telecommunications program. In addition, RUS and the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Office of General Counsel provide facilities and administrative support to RTB without reimbursement.

Performance Goals And Results

In fiscal year (FY) 2003, a total of \$167.8 million in loans were approved. RTB financing represented approximately 26 percent of the total loans approved by RUS' telecommunications loan program. The following performance indicators are calculated based on the percentage of RTB funding provided as a supplement to other RUS funding:

	FY 2003	FY 2003
Performance Indicators	Target	Actual
Number of rural residents and businesses to receive improved service as a result of new		
loan investment	128,700	98,639
Number of rural residents and businesses to receive new service as a result of new loan		
investment	49,900	22,461
Number of jobs to be generated as a result of new loan investment	3,991	3,859
Leveraging of private funds	1.3:1	1.0 : 1

Number of improved and new subscribers. Neither target was met. The targets were derived using past year's experience and by developing a per subscriber relationship to the amount of loan funds available in the target year. While the actual amount of funds loaned in

FY 2003 was near the anticipated amount used in developing the target, average amount of investment per subscriber increased substantially. This is a probable result of increased investment in system upgrades, replacements and improvements versus actual loan funds for new subscribers. Since the calculation is based on the average for the previous year, a variance from year to year can be expected.

Number of jobs generated. The target was not met. This is a result of not utilizing all of the authorized lending authority in fiscal year 2003. Approximately \$5.6 million in lending authority was not obligated, resulting in fewer jobs created.

Leveraging. The target was not met. This performance indicator measures the extent to which borrowers are investing in plant with funds other than RTB funds. During the past year, a higher proportion of funding was invested in plant from RTB and other government funds than from other sources. Several factors may contribute to this, including favorable terms associated with government loans (i.e., lower interest rates and longer maturities) and a desire to increase cash reserves through a conservative cash management approach (resulting in fewer dollars invested from general funds).

Entity's Financial Statements

Assets increased during the fiscal year from \$2,085 billion to \$2,123 billion. This \$38 million increase resulted from loan repayments and additional interest earned on funds with the U. S. Treasury. Liabilities decreased an insignificant amount during the fiscal year.

Entity's Systems, Controls And Legal Compliance

The purpose of the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act (FMFIA) is to promote the development of management structures that ensure management accountability for results and include appropriate, cost effective controls. The FMFIA requires annual assurance statements on both management controls and compliance with financial management system requirements.

For fiscal year 2003, Rural Development reported that its financial management systems did not comply with Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-127, Financial Management Systems, requirements. The conclusion was based in part on the system for servicing the RTB direct loan portfolio being inefficient, labor intensive, and lacking in automated internal controls. In addition, the RTB financial management system has not been certified in compliance with OMB Circular A-130, Management of Federal Information Resources. The corrective action for this nonconformance is the incremental implementation of the Rural Utilities Loan Servicing System (RULSS). RULSS is being designed to replace several nonconforming financial management systems including the one used to service the RTB portfolio. The Loan Application Processing System, the Loan Obligations and Disbursements System, and remaining

servicing systems are planned to be implemented by September 30, 2004. The systems certification in compliance with Office of Management and Budget Circular A-130 has not been completed, however, a September 30, 2004 target date has been established.

Future Opportunities And Challenges

The RTB is required, by law, to privatize its operations through the redemption and retirement of Class A stock "as soon as practicable after September 30, 1995, but not to the extent that, the Telephone Bank Board determines that such retirement will impair the operations of the telephone bank..." (Rural Electrification Act of 1936, Section 406(c)). The President's fiscal year 2002 and 2003 budgets emphasized privatization by eliminating lending authorization in those years. It is important to note that the appropriations bills for fiscal years 1996 through 2003 have stipulated, however, that no more than five percent of the amount of Class A stock outstanding could be retired for each of those years, thereby preventing an expedited privatization of the RTB. To date, the board has voted to retire \$183.4 million of Class A stock, voting to retire the maximum amount allowable under the appropriations laws in every year since 1997, leaving a balance of \$408.7 million.

With a strong focus on privatization, and the recognition that, to continue as a viable private lender that helps meet the growing capital requirements of the rural telecommunications industry, the RTB must formulate and implement a sound business plan for operations as a privatized entity. As such, the Administration responded to this need by providing \$500,000 for an independent contractor to study and provide recommendations for privatization, including a transition plan, policies and procedures for meeting its borrowers' financial requirements, as well as organizational issues and marketing strategies.

A Request for Proposal (RFP) was prepared to seek qualified entities that could provide a thorough examination of the RTB and present options on the various facets that would play a vital role in the process of privatization. Specifically, taking into consideration the limited amount of funds available, the RFP sought to address the following deliverables:

- · An asset and liability management assessment;
- A market study and outreach survey;
- An organizational and operational analysis;
- A legislative assessment; and
- A dynamic, adaptable financial model for current and future use.

The agency conducted a competitive bidding process with several respondents and, in late 2001, selected Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) to perform the privatization study. For approximately 12 months, SAIC has worked closely with the RTB staff and the six elected board members to produce a study. Ultimately, the study shows that the RTB, properly transitioned, could prosper as a

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF THE RURAL TELEPHONE BANK'S FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

viable entity providing low-cost financing to the rural telecommunications marketplace.

Limitation on Financial Statements

These financial statements have been prepared to report the financial position and results of operations of the entity, pursuant to the requirements of 31 U.S.C. 3515(b). While the statements have been prepared from the books and records of the entity in accordance with the formats prescribed by the Office of Management and Budget, the statements are in addition to the financial reports used to monitor and control budgetary resources which are prepared from the same books and records. The statements should be read with the realization that they are a component of the U.S. Government, a sovereign entity. One implication of this is that liabilities cannot be liquidated without legislation that provides resources to do so.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank BALANCE SHEET As of September 30, 2003 and 2002 (in dollars)

(in dollars)		2003		2002
ASSETS				
Assets for Use by Entity:				
Federal				
Fund Balance with Treasury (Note 2)	\$	1,267,349,461	\$	1,085,085,195
Interest Receivable (Note 3)		56,457,531		
Non-Federal				
Credit Program Receivables, Net (Note 4)		798,786,981		999,362,718
Total Assets	\$_	2,122,593,973	\$_	2,084,447,913
LIABILITIES		•		
Liabilities Covered by Budgetary Resources:				
Federal				
Accounts Payable	\$	18,440,437	\$	4,161,674
Debt (Note 5)		300,996,852		323,133,633
Non-Federal				
Other Liabilities (Note 6)	_	28,184,069		22,276,223
Total Liabilities	_	347,621,358	-	349,571,530
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 7)				
NET POSITION				ř.
Unexpended Appropriations (Note 8)	_	21,282,263	_	20,868,302
Capital Stock (Note 9)				
Federal				
Equity of the U.S. Government				
Capital Stock Class A		408,711,091		430,222,200
Subsidy Re-estimates	_	(5,274,229)	_	(1,288,591)
Total Equity of the U.S. Government		403,436,862		428,933,609
Non-Federal				
Investment of Others				
Capital Stock Class B		540,839,299		651,686,066
Capital Stock Class C		736,888,000		551,399,000
Restricted Capital		10,000,000		10,000,000
Patronage Capital Earned	_	62,526,191	_	71,989,406
Total Investment of Others	-	1,350,253,490	_	1,285,074,472
Cumulative Results of Operations	_	1,753,690,352	_	1,714,008,081
Total Net Position	_	1,774,972,615		1,734,876,383
Total Liabilities and Net Position	\$	2,122,593,973	\$ _	2,084,447,913

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank STATEMENT OF NET COST For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (in dollars)

	 2003	_	2002
Program Costs			
Intragovernmental Gross Costs	\$ 26,905,301	\$	26,089,000
Less: Intragovernmental Earned Revenue (Note 10)	62,598,854		58,202,858
Intragovernmental Net Costs	(35,693,553)		(32,113,858)
Gross Costs with the Public	3,918,811		(1,216,212)
Less: Earned Revenues from the Public (Note 10)	69,762,007		68,649,176
Net Costs with the Public	(65,843,196)		(69,865,388)
Net Cost of Operations	\$ (101,536,749)	\$_	(101,979,246)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET POSITION For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (in dollars)

Cumuliof Cumuliof Cumuliof Cumuliof Cumuliof Cumuliof Control		Unexpended Appropriations 20,868,302 20,868,302 (23,112) (925,939) (5,422,679)	Cumulative Results of Operations \$ 1,658,679,268 \$,098,801 (3,571,400) (22,643,274) (9,057,310) (22,350,730) 2,873,480 (46,650,433)	Unexpended Appropriations \$ 19,115,171 6,819,000 (1,381,068) (3,684,801) 1,753,131
Net Costs of Operations 101, Ending Balances 1,753,	1,753,690,352	21,282,263	1,714,008,081	20,868,302

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank STATEMENT OF BUDGETARY RESOURCES For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (in dollars)

2002	NonBudgetary Credit Program Financing Accounts	174,022,151	5,618,853	48,942,765 (16,180,547)	18,849,963 51,612,182	21,494,550	217,849,730	200,691,643
	Budgetary	11,233,000 (22,643,274)	771,811,086	219,929,844	219,929,844	8,379,707 (10,438,378)	978,271,985	33,746,208
2003	NonBudgetary Credit Program Financing Accounts	169,683,148	17,158,087	94,174,043	979,895 95,153,938	32,470,200 (116,996,827)	197,468,546	195,842,397
2	Budgetary	6,785,691	944,525,777	246,629,294 56,457,531	303,086,825	14,052,543 (9,530,383)	1,237,386,231	34,855,641
		Budgetary Resources Appropriations Received (Note 14) Borrowing Authority (Note 12/13) Net Transfers	Unobligated Balances (Note 15) Beginning of Period (Brought Forward)	Spending Authority from Offsetting Collections Earned Collected Receivables from Federal Sources	Change in Unfilled Customer Orders Without Advance from Federal Sources Subtotal	Recoveries of Prior Yr Obligations Permanently Not Available	Total Budgetary Resources	Status of Budgetary Resources: Obligations Incurred (Note 11) Direct

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank STATEMENT OF BUDGETARY RESOURCES For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002 (in dollars)

	20	2003	20	2002
	Budgetary	NonBudgetary Credit Program Financing Accounts	Budgetary	NonBudgetary Credit Program Financing Accounts
Unobligated Balances: Apportioned	55,308			
Unobligated Balances Not Yet Available	1,202,475,282	1,626,149	944,525,777	17,158,087
Total Status of Budgetary Resources	1,237,386,231	197,468,546	978,271,985	217,849,745
Relationship of Obligations to Outlays Obligated Balance, Net - Beginning of Period	117,418,532	1,090,814,527	122,668,671	1,000,659,770
Obligated Balance, Net - End of Period Accounts Receivable Undelivered Orders Accounts Payable	(56,457,530) 81,772,373 28,184,068	1,169,348,641	95,142,310 22,276,222	1,109,664,490
Outlays Disbursements Collections Subtotal	28,265,188 (246,629,294) (218,364,106)	83,858,188 (94,174,043) (10,315,855)	30,616,639 (219,929,844 <u>)</u> (189,313,205)	86,372,920 (48,942,765) 37,430,155
Less: Offsetting Receipts Net Outlays	4,179,159	(10,315,855)	3,133,000 (192,446,205)	37,430,155

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Telephone Bank STATEMENT OF FINANCING For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002

For the Year Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002		
(in dollars)	2003	2002
Resources Used To Finance Activities:		
Budgetary Resources Obligated		
Obligations Incurred	230,698,038	234,437,851
Less: Spending Authority From Offsetting Collections and Recoveries	444,763,507	301,416,283
Obligations net of offsetting collections and recoveries	(214,065,469)	(66,978,432)
Less: Offsetting receipts	4,179,159	3,133,000
Total Resources Used To Finance Activities	(218,244,628)	(70,111,432)
Resources Used To Finance Items Not Part Of The Net Costs Of Operations:		
Change in Budgetary Resources Obligated For Goods, Services, and		
Benefits Ordered But Not Yet Provided	65,164,177	67,123,432
Resources That Fund Expenses Regonozed in Prior Periods	1,288,591	4,395,000
Budgetary Offsetting Collections and Receipts That Due Affect		
Net Costs of Operations		
Credit Program Collections Which Increase Liabilities for Loan Guarantees		
or Allowance for Subsidy	(397,260,868)	(252,692,063)
Other	(4,179,160)	(3,133,000)
Resources That Finance the Acquisition of Assets	112,608,543	110,491,397
Other Resources or Adjustments to Net Obligated Resources That Do		
Not Affect Net Cost of Operations		
Total Resources Used to Finance Items Not Part of The Net Cost of	(222,378,717)	(73,815,234)
Operations		
	4.104.000	2 702 002
Total Resources Used to Finance the Net Cost of Operations	4,134,088	3,703,802
Components of the Net Cost of Operations That Will Not Require or		
Generate Resources in the Current Period:		
Components Requiring or Generating Resources in Future Periods:		
Upward/Downward Reestimates of Credit Subsidy Expense (Note 16)	(6,356,133)	(2,282,808)
Increase in Exchange Revenue Receivable From the Public		
Other	(98,008,250)	(103,164,258)
Total Components of Net Cost of Operations That Will Require or	(104 264 202)	(105 447 066)
Generate Resources in Future Periods	(104,364,383)	(105,447,066)
Components Not Requiring or Generating Resources		
Depreciation and Amortization Other	(1,306,454)	(235,982)
Other	(1,300,131)	(250,702)
Total Components of Net Cost of Operations That Will Not Require or	•	
Generate Resources in the Current Period	(105,670,837)	(105,683,048)
Net Costs of Operations	(101,536,749)	(101,979,246)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

NOTE 1: SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

The Rural Telephone Bank (RTB), established in May 1971, provides a supplemental source of financing under the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) telephone loan program. RUS is a credit agency within the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) which assists rural electric and telephone organizations in obtaining the financing required to provide electric and telephone service in rural areas. RTB lends principally to rural telephone organizations.

RTB is a government corporation that functions as an agency of USDA, subject to the supervision and direction of the Secretary of Agriculture. As provided by law, the Administrator of RUS serves as the Governor of RTB. In this capacity, the Governor may exercise and perform all of RTB's functions, powers, and duties, except for matters specifically reserved for its Board of Directors. As discussed below, upon retirement of the Class A stock held by the U.S. Government, the RTB would no longer operate as an integral agency of the Government.

Adverse changes in the telephone industries could have a direct and material impact on the financial capacity of RTB borrowers to provide for the repayment of loans.

B. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared to report the financial position, net costs, and changes in net position of RTB as required by the Government Management Reform Act of 1994 and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). GAAP for Federal financial reporting entities recognize the Federal Accounting Standards Advisory Board (FASAB) as the standards-setting body designated to establish these principles for these entities. The financial statements have also been prepared from the books and records of RTB in accordance with the form and content for entity financial statements specified by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin 01-09, Form and Content of Agency Financial Statements, except that credit subsidy reestimates are presented as a separate line item within total equity of the U.S. Government. The financial statements also follow USDA accounting policy guidelines.

The amounts in the FY 2002 column of the Statement of Financing have been reclassified due to changes in the Treasury crosswalk. This reclassification will facilitate a meaningful comparison between FY's 2003 and 2002.

C. Basis of Accounting

RTB's transactions are recorded on the accrual basis of accounting and with respect to certain information regarding budgetary resources and financing, a budgetary accounting basis. Under the accrual method, revenues are recognized when earned, and expenses are recognized when a liability is incurred. Budgetary accounting is also necessary to facilitate compliance with legal constraints and controls over the use of Federal funds.

Any significant interfund and intrafund balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation of the pre- and post-credit reform lending programs.

During FY's 2003 and 2002, no new Statements of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) were implemented, due to the fact that either none were applicable or no changes were required.

D. Fund Balance with United States Treasury

RTB maintains all cash accounts with the United States Treasury. It is the policy of RTB not to maintain cash in commercial bank accounts, except in the normal course of processing cash receipts through third-party commercial banking institutions. Fund Balance with Treasury represents appropriated and revolving funds that are available to pay current liabilities and finance authorized loan commitments. RTB earns interest on all cash balances maintained at the Treasury in accordance with the terms of the 1973 note executed by RTB and U.S. Treasury, Section 505(c) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 and recent legislation (Public Law 106-78).

E. Credit Program Receivables, Net

Loans are accounted for as receivables after funds are disbursed. Loans receivable are carried at the principal amount outstanding, net of an allowance for estimated uncollectible amounts for pre-FY 1992 loans. For direct loans obligated on or after October 1, 1991, RTB recognizes these assets at the present value of their estimated net cash inflows. The difference between the outstanding principal of the loans and the present value of their net cash inflows is recognized as a subsidy cost allowance (Note 4). All loan receivables are due from non-Federal borrowers and accrue interest daily based on the contractual interest rate.

RTB's allowance is estimated based on delinquency rates, current economic conditions, borrowers' credit histories, borrowers' outstanding balances, and an analysis of each borrower's financial condition.

For the FY 2002 financial statements, Credit Reform reestimates were prepared for the direct loans obligated on or after October 1, 1991 using actual financial activity as of September 30, 2002. Due to accelerated reporting requirements, a reestimate approximator method was implemented for the FY 2003 reporting period. Actual, budgetary reestimates, as of September 30, 2003, will be completed during FY 2004. It is anticipated that the approximator method will continue for future reporting periods.

The projected cost of direct loan defaults (for loans obligated prior to October 1, 1991) will not necessarily reflect RTB's future appropriation requests. To the extent that fund revenues are not sufficient to fund future costs, financing will have to be obtained from future appropriations, or other Congressionally approved sources.

F. Liabilities

Liabilities are recognized for amounts of probable future outflows or other sacrifices of resources as a result of past transactions or events. Since RTB is a component of the United States Government, a sovereign entity, its liabilities cannot be liquidated without legislation that provides resources to do so. Payments of all liabilities other than contracts can be abrogated by the sovereign entity.

Liabilities Covered by Budgetary Resources are those liabilities funded by available budgetary resources including: (1) new budget authority, (2) spending authority from offsetting collections, (3) recoveries of unexpired budget authority, (4) unobligated balances of budgetary resources at the beginning of the year, and (5) permanent indefinite appropriation or borrowing authority. All of RTB's liabilities are covered by budgetary resources.

Accounts Payable

Accounts payable consist solely of amounts payable to the United States Treasury based on credit reform subsidy reestimates.

Debt - United States Treasury

As required under Credit Reform legislation, a note was executed by RTB with the United States Treasury that provides funds for direct loans approved after September 30, 1991 (Post-1991). The interest rate charged to RTB is the average annual Treasury rate, as provided by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). For loans approved prior to October 1, 1991 (Pre-1992), Treasury funding is provided, when needed, in accordance with the terms of a note executed by RTB and Treasury in July 1973. RTB has not borrowed against the note for several years since the pre-1992 fund balance is sufficient to advance new loans.

G. Stock Conversion

In accordance with its authorizing legislation and amendments, RTB will be converted to independent status at such time when 51 percent of the Class A stock issued to the U.S. Government (and outstanding at any time since September 30, 1985) has been fully redeemed and retired. When such conversion occurs, RTB will no longer be an agency of the USDA and the President will cease to appoint Board members. However, after the conversion, Congress may still continue its oversight responsibilities for RTB's operations.

H. Operations

The Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, and RTB's enabling legislation, authorize RTB to partially or jointly use the facilities and services of employees of RUS, or of any other agency of the USDA, without cost. Under the Federal Credit Reform Act, \$3,061,967 of appropriated funds provided to RTB to cover administrative costs were transferred to RUS. This amount represents the additional cost to RUS for managing RTB. In this regard, RTB's operations are conducted by RUS administrative and program employees who have similar responsibilities under RUS's rural telephone loan program.

I. Intra-Governmental Relationships and Transactions

In the course of its operations, RTB has relationships and financial transactions with other Federal agencies. The more prominent of these are with RUS and the United States Treasury. RUS determines the annual cost allocations associated with the administration of RTB by RUS employees and the Treasury receives the collections of proceeds from direct loans issued on behalf of RTB. At the Government-wide level, the liabilities related to the debt payable to Treasury on RTB's financial statements and the corresponding assets on the Treasury's financial statements should be eliminated.

NOTE 2: FUND BALANCE WITH TREASURY

Amounts presented in dollars.

	2003	2002
Fund Balances:		
Revolving Funds	\$1,246,067,198	\$1,064,216,893
Appropriated Funds	21,282,263	20,868,302
Total	\$1,267,349,461	\$1,085,085,195
	•	
	2003	2002
Unobligated Balance Unavailable, end of year *	\$ 1,144,620,655 1,397,096	\$942,507,439 2,018,339
Unavailable, restricted Available	55,309	2,016,339
Obligated Balance Not Yet Disbursed	121,276,401	140,559,417
Total	\$1,267,349,461	\$1,085,085,195
Amount becomes available through the S	F 132 process.	
NOTE 3: INTEREST RECEIVABLE		
Amounts presented in dollars.		2002
Intragovernmental	2003	2002
Due from Treasury	\$ 56,457,531	\$ -

The interest receivable is based on the average fund balance on deposit with Treasury during FY 2003. The payment from Treasury will be received in October 2003. In the FY 2002 financial statements, the payment was received within the fiscal year and accounted for in the fund balance with Treasury.

NOTE 4: DIRECT LOANS, NON FEDERAL BORROWERS

Purpose

The Rural Telephone Bank (RTB) was created by Public Law 92-12 on May 7, 1971. The RTB was designed to assure rural telephone systems access to private sources of capital. It did this by establishing a supplemental credit mechanism to which borrower systems may turn for all or part of their future capital requirements. The RTB is owned by the U.S. Government, its borrowers, former borrowers, and other related organizations authorized to invest. The RTB operates on a cooperative basis and earnings, in excess of the annual return of 2 percent required on the Government's investment, are returned to the non-Government owners as patronage refunds.

RTB makes telecommunications loans to public bodies, cooperative, nonprofit, limited association or mutual associations. RTB loans are made concurrently with RUS cost-of-money loans to finance the improvement, expansion, construction, and acquisition of systems or facilities that improve telephone service in rural areas. However, RTB does not finance station apparatus owned by the borrower, headquarters facilities, and vehicles not used primarily in construction.

Eligibility

To be eligible, a borrower must be incorporated and must provide or propose to provide the basic local exchange telephone service needs of rural areas. A borrower must demonstrate that the average number of proposed subscribers per mile of line in the service area of the borrower is less than or equal to 15, or the borrower has a projected Times Interest Earned Ratio (borrowers net income after taxes plus interest expense, all divided by interest expense) of at least 1.0 but not greater than 5.0. Additionally, the borrower must participate in an approved telecommunications modernization plan for the state.

Repayment Period and Interest Rates

Loans must be repaid within a period that approximates the expected useful life of the facilities to be financed, not to exceed 35 years. Generally, interest is payable each month as it accrues. Principal payments on each note generally are scheduled to begin 2 years after the date of the note. After this deferral period, interest and principal payments on all funds advanced during this 2-year period are scheduled in equal monthly installments. RTB loans will bear interest at a rate equal to the cost of funds to RTB. However, the rate will not be less than 5 percent.

Servicing Options

RTB may extend the time of payment of principal or interest on a loan. This extension may be up to 5 years after such payment is due. Payment may be deferred as long as necessary in disaster situations so long as the final maturity date is not later than 40 years after the date of the loan.

Accounting Policy

Direct loan obligations made prior to FY 1992 are reported on a net realizable value (NRV) basis. Direct loan obligations made after FY 1991 are governed by the Federal Credit Reform Act. The Act provides that the present value of the subsidy costs (i.e., interest rate differentials, interest subsidies, delinquencies

and defaults, fee offsets and other cash flows) associated with direct loans be recognized as a cost in the year the loan is made. The net present value (NPV) of loans receivable at any point in time is the amount of the gross loan receivable less the present value of the subsidy at that time.

Direct Loans Obligated Prior to FY 1992 (Allowance for Loss Method):

Amounts presented in dollars.

	2003	2002
Loans Receivable, Gross	\$ 476,005,260	\$ 680,136,992
Interest Receivable	\$ 1,276,411	\$ 1,662,983
Allowance for Loan Losses	\$ (4,160,886)	\$ (5,467,339)
Value of Assets Related to Direct Loans	\$ 473,120,785	\$ 676,332,636
Direct Loans Obligated After FY 1991 (Present Value Method)		
Amounts presented in dollars.		
	2003	2002
Loans Receivable, Gross	\$ 327,814,491	\$ 333,973,040
Interest Receivable	\$ 116,160	\$ 107,584
Allowance for Subsidy Cost (Present Value)	\$ (2,264,455)	\$ (11,050,542
Value of Assets Related to Direct Loans	\$ 325,666,196	\$ 323,030,082
Total Portfolio Value of Assets Related To Direct Loans	\$ 798,786,981	\$ 999,362,718
Total Amount of Direct Loans Disbursed:		
Amounts presented in dollars.		
	2003	2002
Direct Loans Obligated Prior to FY 1992	\$ 576,000	\$ 1,586,612
Direct Loans Obligated After FY 1991	\$ 53,176,849	\$ 57,364,683

Subsidy Expense for Direct Loans by Program and Component:

Amounts presented in dollars.

Subsidy Expense for New Direct Loans Disbursed

·	2003	2002
Interest Differential	\$ 1,050,915	\$ 593,612
Defaults	\$ 11,949	\$ 9,042
Fees and Other Collections		
Other	\$ 4,157	\$ 148
Total	\$ 1,067,021	\$ 602,802
Reestimates		
	2003	2002
Interest Rate Reestimates		\$ 705,951
Technical Reestimates	\$ (6,356,133)	\$ (2,988,759)
Total	\$ (6,356,133)	\$ (2,282,808)
Total Direct Loan Subsidy Expense:	\$ (5,289,112)	\$ (1,680,006)

Subsidy Rates for Direct Loans by Program and Component:

Budget Subsidy Rates for Direct Loans by Program and Component

	2003	2002
Interest Differential	2.21%	2.29%
Defaults	0.02%	0.02%
Other Collections	-0.85%	-0.17%
Total	1.38%	2.14%

Schedule for Reconciling Subsidy Cost Allowance Balances: (Post- FY 1991 Direct Loans)

Amounts presented in dollars.

Beginning Balances, Changes, and Ending Balances	FY 2003	FY 2002
Beginning balance of the subsidy cost allowance:	\$ 11,050,542	\$ 12,389,600
Add: subsidy expense for direct loans disbursed during the		
reporting years by component: (a) Interest rate differential costs	1,050,915	593,612
(b) Default costs (net of recoveries)	11,949	9,042
(c) Fees and other collections	4,157	148
Total of the above subsidy expense and components	\$ 1,067,021	\$ 602,802
Total of the accidence of the compensation		
Adjustment:		
(a) Subsidy allowance amortization	3,699,203	680,776
(b) Other		75,508
Ending balance of the subsidy cost allowance before reestimates	\$ 15,816,766	\$ 13,748,686
Add or subtract subsidy reestimates by component:		
(a) Interest rate reestimate	-	705,951
Less: interest income amortized above	(7,196,178)	(415,336)
(b) Technical/default reestimate	(6,356,133)	(2,988,759)
Total of the above reestimate components	\$ (13,552,311)	\$ (2,698,144)
Ending balance of the subsidy cost allowance	\$ 2,264,455	\$ 11,050,542
	FY 2003	FY 2002
Administrative Expense	\$ 3,061,967	\$3,082,000

NOTE 5: DEBT

Amounts presented in dollars.

	2003			
F. 1. 10.1.	Beginning Balance	Net Borrowings	Ending Balance	
Federal Debt Interest Bearing: Debt to the Treasury	\$ 323,133,633	(22,136,781)	\$ 300,996,852	
		2002		
	Beginning Balance	Net Borrowings	Ending Balance	
Federal Debt				
Interest Bearing: Debt to the Treasury	\$ 272,733,633	50,400,000	\$ 323,133,633	

Legislation limits outstanding borrowings from the U.S. Treasury to an amount, which shall not exceed twenty times RTB's equity. As of September 30, 2003 and 2002, RTB's maximum borrowing authority approximated \$35 billion, and \$34 billion, respectively. All Federal debt is covered by budgetary resources.

NOTE 6: OTHER LIABILITIES

Dividends declared and unpaid on Class C Stock to non-federal recipients in the amount of \$28.2 million and \$22.3 million as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, and are payable on December 15, 2003. This liability is covered by budgetary resources.

NOTE 7: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The RTB has in the course of its loan making activities, unliquidated loan obligations that, in the absence of contractual violations or cancellations, will require disbursements. Unliquidated loan obligations at September 30, 2003 and 2002, total approximately \$1,251 million, and \$1,186 million, respectively.

As of September 30, 2003 and 2002, there were no obligations due to canceled appropriations for which there is a contractual commitment for payment.

NOTE 8: UNEXPENDED APPROPRIATION

Amounts presented in dollars.

	_	2003	 2002
<u>Unexpended appropriations</u>			
Unobligated	\$	1,452,404	\$ 2,018,339
Undelivered orders	• `	19,829,859	 18,849,963
Total Unexpended Appropriations	\$_	21,282,263	\$ 20,868,302

Unexpended appropriations include the undelivered orders and unobligated balances of the program accounts, which receive Congressional appropriations through the budgetary process.

As appropriated funds incur obligations, the obligated amount is recorded as an undelivered order. Undelivered orders are reduced by either an expenditure or an obligation cancellation. Appropriated funds, which are not obligated, are treated as unobligated amounts. Unobligated appropriations are returned to the U.S. Treasury when their period of availability expires.

NOTE 9: CAPITAL STOCK

Amounts presented in dollars.

A summary of the RTB capital stock structure follow			
		2003	 2002
CAPITAL STOCK CLASS A (\$1 par value)	_		
Shares authorized		600,000,000	600,000,000
Shares issued and outstanding		408,711,091	430,222,200
Cash dividends	\$	8,604,444	\$ 9,057,310
CAPITAL STOCK CLASS B (\$1 par value)			
Shares authorized		Unlimited	Unlimited
Shares issued and outstanding		540,839,299	651,686,066
Stock dividends		71,989,406	85,755,955
CAPITAL STOCK CLASS C (\$1,000 par value)			
Shares authorized	-	Unlimited	Unlimited
Par Value, shares issued and outstanding	\$	736,888,000	\$ 551,399,000
Cash dividends (accrued)	\$	28,184,069	\$ 22,276,223

Although USDA Rural Development owns all Class A stock on behalf of the United States Government, the cash dividends are paid to the U.S. Treasury. On September 30, 2003, in accordance with Section 406 (c) of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (RE Act) as amended, the eighth redemption of Class A stock occurred. On September 30, 2003 and 2002, in accordance with Bank Board resolution 2003-3 and 2002-4, the eighth and seventh redemption's of Class A stock, in the amounts of \$21.5 million and \$22.6 million occurred, leaving balances of \$408.7 and \$430.2 million outstanding, respectively.

Class B stock, a voting class of stock, is issued only to borrowers of RTB, in proportion to actual loan advances. RTB requires borrowers to purchase Class B stock in the amount of 5 percent of advanced loan amounts. RTB may not pay cash dividends on Class B stock. Rather, holders of Class B stock are entitled to patronage refunds (paid in the form of Class B stock dividends) equal to the amount of patronage capital in excess of \$10 million. Patronage refunds are allocated on liquidating account loans, based on the ratio of interest revenue to RTB from each holder to RTB's total interest revenue from all liquidating account Class B stockholders. Stock subscriptions relating to unadvanced loans approximated \$60 million and \$56 million at September 30, 2003, and 2002, and are not reflected in the accompanying principal financial statements.

Class B stock is nontransferable, except in connection with a transfer of ownership approved by RTB, of all or part of a RTB loan. Class B stock can be redeemed only after all shares of Class A stock, a nonvoting class of stock owned by the U.S. Government, have been redeemed and retired. A borrower may exchange Class B stock for Class C stock: 1) upon retiring all debt with RTB; or 2) effective November 9, 1999, prior to retiring all debt on a proportionate basis equal to the percentage of each note repaid. As of September 30, 2003, and 2002, B stock exchanges of \$198.6 million and \$171.4 million under the latter method have occurred.

Class C stock, a voting class of stock, is issued only to RTB borrowers, or to corporations and public entities eligible to borrow from RTB under Section 408 of the RE Act as amended, or by organizations controlled by such borrowers, corporations, and public entities. RTB may pay cash dividends on Class C stock.

Restricted Capital

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 required the RTB to establish a contingency reserve for interest rate fluctuations. As a result, the RTB Board of Directors amended the Bylaws of RTB regarding the allocation of patronage capital and established a reserve of \$10 million. Any amounts in the reserve for interest rate fluctuations, classified as Restricted capital on the Balance Sheet, in excess of \$10 million will be allocated as Class B stock dividends to those borrowers holding Class B stock during the fiscal year the amounts were earned. At September 30, 2003 and 2002, restricted capital was \$10 million for both years.

Patronage Capital Earned

Patronage capital earned consists of all revenues of the RTB for any fiscal year in excess of the amount thereof necessary to:

- Pay expenses of the RTB, including without limitation, payments in lieu of property taxes.
- Pay interest on telephone debentures accruing during the fiscal year.
- Provide reasonable allowances for depreciation, obsolescence, and losses on loans and interest receivable.
- Pay to the holders of Class A stock an amount equal to 2 percent per annum of the capital furnished to the RTB for such stock.
- Pay to the holders of Class C stock dividends at the rate determined by the Board, provided the following criteria is met:
 - No dividends shall be declared on Class C stock until arrearages, if any, on payments to holders of the cumulative Class A stock have been paid.

- Until all Class A stock has been retired, the Board shall not declare any dividends on Class C stock at an annual rate in excess of the then current average rate payable on the RTB's telephone debentures.

Capital Stock Class A

Public Laws 92-12 and 97-98 authorized Congress, in FY's 1971 through 1991, to appropriate no more than \$30 million per year for the purchase of RTB Class A stock. Class A stock has a guaranteed annual dividend of 2 percent of the total funds received. The law provides that Congress annually appropriate funds until such purchases approximate \$600 million. As of September 30, 2003, RTB Class A stock appropriations amounted to approximately \$591.1 million, the total funding USDA Rural Development will receive from Congress. Beginning in 1996, RTB was required to repurchase this stock; however, in accordance with Public Law 106-78, Section 718, the maximum Class A stock that may be retired is 5 percent. According to enabling legislation and amendments, the Bank will be converted to independent status when 51 percent of the Class A stock issued to the United States has been fully redeemed and retired.

Cumulative Results of Operations

Cumulative Results of Operations are allocated to the various components of Net Position based on the requirements of the RE Act. Specifically, current year results of operations are recorded as Patronage Capital Earned and redistributed to the Class B stock and Restricted Capital accounts. Cash dividends are paid out of Cumulative Results of Operations.

NOTE 10: EARNED REVENUES

Amounts presented in dollars.

	2003	2003 2002	
Intragovernmental Earned Revenue	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Interest Revenue from Treasury	\$ 62,598,854	\$	58,202,858
Earned Revenue from the Public			
Interest and Penalties Revenue	69,762,007		68,649,176
Total Earned Revenues	\$ 132,360,861	\$	126,852,034

NOTE 11: APPORTIONMENTS CATEGORIES OF OBLIGATIONS INCURRED

Direct obligations incurred as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, is approximately \$231 million and \$234 million, respectively. For FY 2003, \$203 million was apportioned for direct loans and \$28 million was apportioned for Treasury interest expense and reestimates; and for FY 2002, \$208 million was apportioned for direct loans and \$26 million was apportioned for Treasury interest expense and reestimates.

NOTE 12: AVAILABLE BORROWING/CONTRACT AUTHORITY, END OF PERIOD

The amount of Federal borrowing authority available as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, is approximately \$1,160 million and \$1,085 million, respectively.

NOTE 13: TERMS OF BORROWING AUTHORITY USED

Requirements for repayments of borrowings: Borrowings are repaid on Form SF 1151, Nonexpenditure Transfers, as maturity dates become due. For liquidating accounts, maturity dates are fifty years from the close of the fiscal year the funds were advanced by Treasury to the Bank. For financing accounts, maturity is based on the period of time used in the subsidy calculation, not the contractual term of the agency's loans to borrowers. This period of time used in the subsidy calculation will normally be longer than the contractual term of the agency's loans to borrowers.

Terms of borrowings used: In general, borrowings are for periods of up to fifty years depending upon the cohort. Interest rates on borrowings in the liquidating accounts were assigned on the basis of the Treasury rate in effect at the time of the borrowing. Interest rates on borrowings in the financing accounts are assigned on the basis of the Treasury rate in effect at the end of the year of loan disbursements. Since individual loans are typically disbursed over several years, several interest rates may be applicable to an individual loan. A single weighted average interest rate, which is adjusted each year until all the disbursements for the cohort have been made, is maintained. Prepayments can be made on Treasury borrowings in the liquidating and financing accounts without penalty.

Financing sources for repayments of borrowings: Included are reestimates and cash flows (i.e. borrower loan principal repayments), appropriations received in liquidating accounts for "cash needs", residual unobligated balances, where applicable, and other Treasury borrowings.

NOTE 14: PERMANENT INDEFINITE APPROPRIATIONS

Permanent indefinite appropriations are mainly applicable to liquidating accounts which have the ability to apportion them and for reestimates related to upward adjustments of subsidy in the program accounts. These appropriations become available pursuant to standing provisions of law without further action by Congress after transmittal of the Budget for the year involved. They are treated as permanent the first year they become available, as well as succeeding years. However, they are not stated as specific amounts but are determined by specific variable factors, such as "cash needs" for the liquidating accounts and information about the actual performance of a cohort or estimated changes in future cash flows of the cohort in the program accounts.

The period of availability for these appropriations is as follows: Annual authority is available for obligations only during a specific year and expires at the end of that time. Multi-year authority is available for obligations for a specified period of time in excess of one fiscal year. No-year authority remains available for obligation for an indefinite period of time, usually until the objectives for which the authority was made available are achieved.

Annual and multi-year authority expires for the purpose of incurring new obligations. However, the authority is available for adjustments to obligations and for disbursements that were incurred or made during the period prior to expiration, but not recorded. Unless specifically authorized in law, the period that the expired authority is available for adjustments to obligations or for disbursements is five fiscal years (beginning with the first expired year). At the end of the fifth expired year, the authority is "canceled". Therefore, the authority is not available for any purpose.

NOTE 15: LEGAL ARRANGEMENTS AFFECTING USE OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCES

- Legal arrangements affecting the use of unobligated balances of budget authority: The availability/use of budgetary resources (i.e., unobligated balances) for obligation and expenditure are limited by purpose, amount, and time.
- Purpose: Funds may be obligated and expended only for the purposes authorized in appropriations acts or other laws.
- Amount: Obligations and expenditures may not exceed the amounts established in law. Amounts available are classified as either definite (i.e., not to exceed a specified amount) or indefinite (i.e. amount is determined by specified variable factors).
- Time: The period of time during which budgetary resources may incur new obligations is different from the period of time during which the budgetary resources may be used to disburse funds.

The time limitations on the use of unobligated balances are the same as those previously discussed in the last two paragraphs of the permanent indefinite appropriations footnote disclosure.

Any information about legal arrangements affecting the use of unobligated balances of budget authority will be specifically stated by programs and fiscal year in the appropriation language or in the alternative provisions section at the end of the appropriations act.

NOTE 16: EXPLANATION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE STATEMENT OF BUDGETARY RESOURCES AND THE BUDGET OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

The 2005 Budget of the United States Government, with the Actual Column completed for FY 2003, has not yet been published as of the date of these financial statements. The Budget is currently expected to be published and delivered to Congress in early February 2004.

The 2004 Budget of the United States Government, with the Actual Column completed for FY 2002, was published in February 2003 and reconciled to the SBR. No reporting errors were found. However, legitimate differences did occur as described in the table below. The legitimate differences represent:

- Expired budgetary authority available for upward adjustments of obligations, which is excluded from the President's Budget Actual Column per OMB Circular No. A-11 but is included in the SBR.
- Amounts due to rounding.

Reconciliation Between FY 2002 Statement of Budgetary Resources and the President's Budget

Amounts are presented in millions.

Applicable Line From SBR	Amount from SBR	Applicable Line From President's Budget	Amount from President's Budget	Legitimate Differences	Reporting Errors
Total		Total budgetary resources available			
Budgetary	İ	for obligation			
Resources					
(line 7)	\$1,196		\$1,194	\$2 expired	None
Total Status		Total new obligations, Unobligated			
of		balance expiring/withdrawn,			
Budgetary		Unobligated balance carried			
Resources		forward, end of year, and		\$2 expired	
(line 11)	\$1,196	Unobligated balance available, end	\$1,195	\$1 rounding	None
		of year			
Outlays		-1			
(line 15)	(\$152)	Outlays	(\$152)	None	None _

NOTE 17: EXPLANATION OF RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LIABILITIES NOT COVERED BY BUDGETARY RESOURCES ON THE BALANCE SHEET AND THE CHANGE IN COMPONENTS REQUIRING OR GENERATING RESOURCES IN FUTURE PERIODS ON THE STATEMENT OF FINANCING

Components requiring or generating resources in future periods represent upward/downward reestimates of credit subsidy expense. These subsidy reestimates are recognized expenses even though budgetary resources will be provided in a subsequent period. These credit subsidy reestimates are reported as liabilities covered by budgetary resources since the budget authority to fund the reestimates is permanent and indefinite and no further congressional action is required to provide the resources.